CS/ECE 374 A ♦ Spring 2018 Mark O A

Due Tuesday, January 23, 2018 at 8pm

- Each student must submit individual solutions for this homework. For all future homeworks, groups of up to three students can submit joint solutions.
- Submit your solutions electronically to Gradescope as PDF files. Submit a separate PDF file for each numbered problem. If you plan to typeset your solutions, please use the Lagrange to the solution template on the course web site. If you must submit scanned handwritten solutions, please use a black pen on blank white paper and a high-quality scanner app (or an actual scanner).
- You are *not* required to sign up on Gradescope or Piazza with your real name and your illinois.edu email address; you may use any email address and alias of your choice. However, to give you credit for the homework, we need to know who Gradescope thinks you are. Please fill out the web form linked from the course web page.

Some important course policies

- You may use any source at your disposal—paper, electronic, or human—but you *must* cite *every* source that you use, and you *must* write everything yourself in your own words. See the academic integrity policies on the course web site for more details.
- The answer "I don't know" (and nothing else) is worth 25% partial credit on any required problem or subproblem on any homework or exam. We will accept synonyms like "No idea" or "WTF" or "\ (ό_ò)/", but you must write something.

On the other hand, only the homework problems you submit actually contribute to your overall course grade, so submitting "I don't know" for an entire numbered homework problem will almost certainly hurt your grade more than submitting nothing at all.

- Avoid the Three Deadly Sins! Any homework or exam solution that breaks any of the following rules will be given an *automatic zero*, unless the solution is otherwise perfect. Yes, we really mean it. We're not trying to be scary or petty (Honest!), but we do want to break a few common bad habits that seriously impede mastery of the course material.
 - Always give complete solutions, not just examples.
 - Always declare all your variables, in English. In particular, always describe the specific problem your algorithm is supposed to solve.
 - Never use weak induction.

See the course web site for more information.

If you have any questions about these policies, please don't hesitate to ask in class, in office hours, or on Piazza.

1. The famous Basque computational arborist Gorka Oihanean has a favorite 26-node binary tree, in which each node is labeled with a letter of the alphabet. Intorder and postorder traversals of his tree visits the nodes in the following orders:

Inorder: F E V I B H N X G W A Z O D J S R M U T C K Q P L Y Postorder: F V B I E N A Z W G X J S D M U R O H K C Q Y L P T

- (a) List the nodes in Professor Oihanean's tree according to a preorder traversal.
- (b) Draw Professor Oihanean's tree.

You do not need to prove that your answers are correct.

2. For any string $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$, let swap(w) denote the string obtained from w by swapping the first and second symbols, the third and fourth symbols, and so on. For example:

$$swap(10\ 11\ 00\ 01\ 10\ 1) = 01\ 11\ 00\ 10\ 01\ 1.$$

The swap function can be formally defined as follows:

$$swap(w) := \begin{cases} \varepsilon & \text{if } w = \varepsilon \\ w & \text{if } w = 0 \text{ or } w = 1 \\ ba \cdot swap(x) & \text{if } w = abx \text{ for some } a, b \in \{0, 1\} \text{ and } x \in \{0, 1\}^* \end{cases}$$

- (a) Prove by induction that |swap(w)| = |w| for every string w.
- (b) Prove by induction that swap(swap(w)) = w for every string w.

You may assume without proof that $|x \cdot y| = |x| + |y|$, or any other result proved in class, in lab, or in the lecture notes. Otherwise, your proofs must be formal and self-contained, and they must invoke the *formal* definitions of length |w|, concatenation \bullet , and the *swap* function. Do not appeal to intuition!

- 3. Consider the set of strings $L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ defined recursively as follows:
 - The empty string ε is in L.
 - For any string x in L, the string 0x is also in L.
 - For any strings x and y in L, the string 1x1y is also in L.
 - These are the only strings in *L*.
 - (a) Prove that the string 101110101101011 is in L.
 - (b) Prove that every string $w \in L$ contains an even number of 1s.
 - (c) Prove that every string $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$ with an even number of 1s is a member of L.

Let #(a, w) denote the number of times symbol a appears in string w; for example,

```
\#(0,101110101101011) = 5 and \#(1,101110101101011) = 10.
```

You may assume without proof that #(a,uv) = #(a,u) + #(a,v) for any symbol a and any strings u and v, or any other result proved in class, in lab, or in the lecture notes. Otherwise, your proofs must be formal and self-contained.

Each homework assignment

Each homework assignment will include at least one solved problem, similar to the problems assigned in that homework, together with the grading rubric we would apply *if* this problem appeared on a homework or exam. These model solutions illustrate our recommendations for structure, presentation, and level of detail in your homework solutions. Of course, the actual *content* of your solutions won't match the model solutions, because your problems are different!

Solved Problems

4. The *reversal* w^R of a string w is defined recursively as follows:

$$w^{R} := \begin{cases} \varepsilon & \text{if } w = \varepsilon \\ x^{R} \bullet a & \text{if } w = a \cdot x \end{cases}$$

A *palindrome* is any string that is equal to its reversal, like AMANAPLANACANALPANAMA, RACECAR, POOP, I, and the empty string.

- (a) Give a recursive definition of a palindrome over the alphabet Σ .
- (b) Prove $w = w^R$ for every palindrome w (according to your recursive definition).
- (c) Prove that every string w such that $w = w^R$ is a palindrome (according to your recursive definition).

You may assume without proof the following statements for all strings x, y, and z:

- Reversal reversal: $(x^R)^R = x$
- Concatenation reversal: $(x \cdot y)^R = y^R \cdot x^R$
- Right cancellation: If $x \cdot z = y \cdot z$, then x = y.

Solution:

- (a) A string $w \in \Sigma^*$ is a palindrome if and only if either
 - $w = \varepsilon$, or
 - w = a for some symbol $a \in \Sigma$, or
 - w = axa for some symbol $a \in \Sigma$ and some palindrome $x \in \Sigma^*$.

Rubric: 2 points = $\frac{1}{2}$ for each base case + 1 for the recursive case. No credit for the rest of the problem unless this part is correct.

(b) Let *w* be an arbitrary palindrome.

Assume that $x = x^R$ for every palindrome x such that |x| < |w|.

There are three cases to consider (mirroring the definition of "palindrome"):

- If $w = \varepsilon$, then $w^R = \varepsilon$ by definition, so $w = w^R$.
- If w = a for some symbol $a \in \Sigma$, then $w^R = a$ by definition, so $w = w^R$.
- Finally, suppose w = axa for some symbol $a \in \Sigma$ and some palindrome

 $x \in P$. In this case, we have

$$w^R = (a \cdot x \cdot a)^R$$

 $= (x \cdot a)^R \cdot a$ by definition of reversal
 $= a^R \cdot x^R \cdot a$ by concatenation reversal
 $= a \cdot x^R \cdot a$ by definition of reversal
 $= a \cdot x \cdot a$ by the inductive hypothesis
 $= w$ by assumption

In all three cases, we conclude that $w = w^R$.

Rubric: 4 points: standard induction rubric (scaled)

(c) Let w be an arbitrary string such that $w = w^R$.

Assume that every string x such that |x| < |w| and $x = x^R$ is a palindrome. There are three cases to consider (mirroring the definition of "palindrome"):

- If $w = \varepsilon$, then w is a palindrome by definition.
- If w = a for some symbol $a \in \Sigma$, then w is a palindrome by definition.
- Otherwise, we have w = ax for some symbol a and some *non-empty* string x. The definition of reversal implies that $w^R = (ax)^R = x^R a$.

Because x is non-empty, its reversal x^R is also non-empty.

Thus, $x^R = by$ for some symbol b and some string y.

It follows that $w^R = bya$, and therefore $w = (w^R)^R = (bya)^R = ay^Rb$.

[At this point, we need to prove that a = b and that y is a palindrome.]

Our assumption that $w = w^R$ implies that $bya = ay^Rb$.

The recursive definition of string equality immediately implies a = b.

Because a = b, we have $w = ay^R a$ and $w^R = aya$.

The recursive definition of string equality implies $y^R a = ya$.

Right cancellation implies that $y^R = y$.

The inductive hypothesis now implies that y is a palindrome.

We conclude that w is a palindrome by definition.

In all three cases, we conclude that w is a palindrome.

Rubric: 4 points: standard induction rubric (scaled).

Standard induction rubric. For problems worth 10 points:

- + 1 for explicitly considering an arbitrary object.
- + 2 for a valid **strong** induction hypothesis
 - Deadly Sin! Automatic zero for stating a weak induction hypothesis, unless the rest of the proof is absolutely perfect.
- + 2 for explicit exhaustive case analysis
 - No credit here if the case analysis omits an infinite number of objects. (For example: all odd-length palindromes.)
 - -1 if the case analysis omits an finite number of objects. (For example: the empty string.)
 - -1 for making the reader infer the case conditions. Spell them out!
 - No penalty if the cases overlap (for example: even length at least 2, odd length at least 3, and length at most 5.)
- + 1 for cases that do not invoke the inductive hypothesis ("base cases")
 - No credit here if one or more "base cases" are missing.
- + 2 for correctly applying the **stated** inductive hypothesis
 - No credit here for applying a different inductive hypothesis, even if that different inductive hypothesis would be valid.
- + 2 for other details in cases that invoke the inductive hypothesis ("inductive cases")
 - No credit here if one or more "inductive cases" are missing.

For (sub)problems worth less than 10 points, scale and round to the nearest half-integer.